SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) -- OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended October 31, 2002

ΩR

[_]	TRANSITION R	REPORT PUF	RSUANT TO	SECTION	13 OR	15(d)
	OF THE SE	CURITIES	EXCHANGE	ACT OF 1	L934	
For	the transiti	on period	l from	to		

Commission File Number: 0-21393

SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

04-3197974 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

124 Acton Street, Maynard, MA 01754 (Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (978) 897-0100

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports); and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES [X] NO []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES [_] NO [_]

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock on December 11, 2002 was 26,734,866.

SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Table of Contents

<table></table>			
			Page
<s> PART I.</s>	FINANCIAI	INFORMATION	<c></c>
	Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	
		Consolidated Balance Sheet cober 31, 2002 (unaudited) and January 31, 2002	3
	Three	d Consolidated Statement of Operations and nine months ended October 31, 2002 and er 31, 2001 (unaudited)	4
	Nine m	d Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows nonths ended October 31, 2002 and er 31, 2001 (unaudited)	5
	Notes to	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6-14
	Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15-24
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	24
	Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	24
PART II.	OTHER INE	CORMATION	
	Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	25

Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	

ITEM I. Financial Statements

SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands, except share data)

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

<caption></caption>		
	October 31, 2002	January 31, 2002
<\$>	(unaudited) <c></c>	<c></c>
Assets		
Current assets Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 92,888	\$ 103,898
of \$1,198 at October 31, 2002 and \$859 at January 31, 2002	22,184	29,916
Inventories	26,218	23,990
Income tax receivable	1,528	118
Prepaid expenses and other current assets Deferred income taxes	3,017	2,193 7,294
Deferred income caxes		
Total current assets	145,835	167,409
Property and equipment, net	15 , 361	17,652 1,481
Investment in affiliates	2,972	493
Other assets	220	1,759
Intangibles, net	2,973	3,930
Goodwill, net	253 	253
	\$ 167 , 614	\$ 192 , 977
	=======	========
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity Current liabilities		
Current portion of line of credit and obligations under capital		
leases	\$ 232	\$ 291
Accounts payable	7,701	14,735
Accrued litigation reserve	10,992 2,359	 1,837
Customer deposits	536	2,484
Deferred revenue	11,795	13,071
Income taxes payable	85 	70
Total current liabilities	33,700	32,488
Long-term line of credit and obligations under capital leases	788 	6,363
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 8 and 10)		
Stockholders' Equity		
Convertible preferred stock, 5,000,000 shares authorized, none outstanding Common stock, \$.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 26,635,959 and 26,532,671 shares issued and outstanding at October 31, 2002 and		
January 31, 2002, respectively	266	265
Additional paid-in capital	160,589	159,914
Deferred equity discount		(1,164)
Due from stockholders Accumulated deficit	(27,535)	(122) (4,524)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(194)	(243)
Total stockholders' equity	133,126	154,126
	\$ 167,614 ======	\$ 192,977 ======

SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (in thousands, except per share data) (unaudited)

<table></table>
<caption></caption>

<caption></caption>		nths ended	Nine months ended		
		October 31,	October 31,		
31,	2002	2001	2002	2001	
<pre><s> Revenues:</s></pre>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	
Systems	\$ 25,945 7,926	\$ 17,776 7,445	\$ 78,361 22,543	\$ 60,829 21,572	
00, 401	33,871	25,221	100,904		
82,401 Cost of revenues:					
Systems Services	15,801 5,153	10,682 5,201	47,156 15,924	35,208 15,644	
50,852	20,954	15,883	63,080		
Gross profit	12,917	9,338	37,824	31,549 	
Operating expenses:	6 470	5 000	10 145	17.560	
Research and development	6,478 3,994	5,883 3,410	19,145 12,012	17,560 10,599	
General and administrative	3,290	1,748	23,338	5 , 579	
	13,762	11,041	54,495		
33,738	•	•	•		
Loss from operations	(845) 304	(1,703) (80)	(16,671) 1,024	(2,189)	
	(5.44)	(4. 500)	(45 545)	40 504	
Loss before income taxes	(541)	(1,783)	(15,647)	(2,521)	
<pre>Income tax expense (benefit)(807)</pre>	-	(571)	7,364		
Net loss	\$ (541) ======	\$ (1,212) ======	\$ (23,011) ======	\$ (1,714)	
=======					
Basic and diluted loss per share(0.08)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.87)	\$	
	======	======	======		
Weighted average common shares outstanding: Basic and diluted	26 , 635 ======	22 , 939	26 , 592	22 , 796	
======================================					

SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (in thousands) (unaudited)

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

<caption></caption>	Nine mont	hs ended
	NITIE MOIT	
	October 31, 2002	October 31, 2001
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	\$ (23,011)	\$(1,714)
Depreciation and amortization	6,459	5,268
Amortization of deferred equity discount	1,414	1,142
Deferred taxes	7,364	(807)
Accounts receivable	7,732	2,796
Inventories	(2 , 700) 766	(533)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets and other assets	(7,034)	(506) (1,201)
Accrued litigation reserve	10,992	(1,201)
Other accrued expenses	403	72
Customer deposits	(1,948)	(3,000)
Deferred revenue	(1,276)	927
Income taxes payable	15 	(485)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(824)	1,959
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(2,568)	(5,559)
Purchase of intangible assets	(171)	(2,709)
Investment in affiliates	(2,479)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,218)	(8,268)
Cash flows from financing activities:		F 400
Borrowings under equipment line of credit		5,409
Repayment of borrowings under revolving line of credit	(5,520)	(4,000) (4,967)
Repayment of obligations under capital lease	(114)	(150)
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	544	11,152
Collection of notes receivable from stockholders	122	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(4,968)	7,444
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(11,010)	1,135
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	103,898 	6,145
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 92,888	\$ 7 , 280
	======	======
Supplemental disclosure of noncash activities		
Transfer of items originally classified as fixed assets to inventories	\$ 1,445	\$ 530
Transfer of items originally classified as inventories to fixed assets $\dots \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot$	\$ 1,917	\$ 1,047

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of SeaChange International, Inc. and its subsidiaries. SeaChange believes that the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments), necessary for a fair statement of SeaChange's financial position, results of operations and cash flows at the dates and for the periods indicated. The results of operations for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of results expected for the full fiscal year or any other future periods. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended January 31, 2002, included in SeaChange's Annual Report on Form 10-K for such fiscal year. The balance sheet at January 31, 2002 was derived from audited financial information.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from sales of systems are recognized upon shipment provided title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, there is evidence of an arrangement, fees are fixed or determinable and collection of the related receivable is reasonably assured. Installation, project management and training revenue is deferred and recognized as these services are performed. Revenue from technical support and maintenance is deferred and recognized ratably over the period of the related agreements, generally one year. Customers are billed for installation, project management, training and maintenance at the time of the product sale. If a portion of the sales price is not due until installation of the system is complete, that portion of the sales price is deferred until installation is complete. Revenue from content fees, primarily movies, is recognized based on the volume of monthly purchases that are made by hotel guests. Revenue from product development contract services is recognized based on the time and materials incurred to complete the work. Shipping and handling costs are included in revenue and cost of revenues.

SeaChange's transactions frequently involve the sales of systems and services under multiple element arrangements. Systems sales always include at least one year of free technical support and maintenance services. Revenue under multiple element arrangements is allocated to all elements except systems based upon the fair value of those elements. The amounts allocated to training, project management, technical support and maintenance and content fees is based upon the price charged when these elements are sold separately and unaccompanied by the other elements. The amount allocated to installation revenue is based upon hourly rates and the estimated time required to complete the service. The amount allocated to systems is done on a residual method basis. Under this method, the total arrangement value is allocated first to undelivered elements, based on their fair values, with the remainder being allocated to systems revenue. Installation, training and project management services are not essential to the functionality of systems as these services do not alter the equipment's capabilities and are available from other vendors, and the systems are standard products. For transactions in which consideration, including equity instruments, is given to a customer, SeaChange accounts for the value of this consideration as a reduction in revenue in its statement of operations (see Note 9 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion).

Earnings Per Share

For the three and nine months ended October 31, 2002 and October 31, 2001, common shares of 4,506,486 and 3,503,315 respectively, issuable upon the exercise of stock options, are antidilutive because SeaChange recorded a net loss for the period, and therefore, have been excluded from the diluted loss per share computation.

6

SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (in thousands, except per share data)

Below is a summary of the shares used in calculating basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated:

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

ended

October 31,

2001

_____ -----October 31, October 31, October 31, 2002 2001

Three months ended Nine months

<\$>	<c></c>	<	C>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Weighted average shares used in calculating earnings per share Basic		26,635	22,939	26,592	
22,796 Dilutive common stock equivalents					
					
 Weighted average shares used in calculating earnings per share-					
Diluted		26 , 635 =====	22,939	26 , 592	
====== 					

					4. Inventories					
Inventories consist of the following:										
TABLE>										
CAPTION>			ober 31,	Januar	-					
			02	20	002					
``` Components and assemblies ```			\$19**,**544	\$17,	046					
Finished products			6,674	6,	944					
			\$26,218	\$23,						
				=====	===					
			=====	=====	===					
			=====	=====						
				====						
5. Comprehensive Loss  SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:				====						
5. Comprehensive Loss  SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE>  CCAPTION>	Thre	e months		Nine mo						
5. Comprehensive Loss  SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE>  CCAPTION>		e months	ended		onths					
5. Comprehensive Loss  SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:			ended	Nine mo	onths					
SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE>  CAPTION> ended	Octobe	r 31,	ended  October 31	Nine mo	onths					
SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE>  CCAPTION> ended  Cctober 31		r 31,	ended	Nine mo	onths					
SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE> CCAPTION> ended October 31	Octobe 200	r 31,	ended  October 31 2001	Nine mo	onths					
SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE> CCAPTION> ended October 31 2001 SS> CC>	Octobe 200	r 31, 2	ended  October 31 2001	Nine mo	onths 31,					
SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE> CCAPTION>  ended  October 31  2001  CS> CC> det loss (1,714)	Octobe 200	r 31, 2	ended  October 31 2001	Nine mo	onths 31,					
SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE> CCAPTION>  ended  Cotober 31  2001  CSS  Sel loss	Octobe 200 \$(541)	r 31, 2	ended October 31 2001 \$(1,212)	Nine mo	onths 31, 002					
SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE> CCAPTION>  Ended  Cotober 31  COOL  CSS  CCS  Set loss	Octobe 200	r 31, 2	ended October 31 2001 \$(1,212)	Nine mo	onths 31,					
SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE> CCAPTION>  Cotober 31  COOL  COOL	Octobe 200 \$(541)	r 31, 2	ended October 31 2001 \$(1,212)	Nine mo	onths 31, 002					
SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE> CCAPTION>  ended   October 31  2001   (S> (C> Net loss. ((1,714) ) Other comprehensive income (expense), net of tax: Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax of \$ -, \$4, \$- and \$(11), respectively.  (22)  Other comprehensive income (expense).	Octobe 200 \$(541)	r 31, 2 - )	ended October 31 2001 \$(1,212)	Nine mo	onths 31, 002					
SeaChange's comprehensive loss was as follows:  CTABLE> CCAPTION>  ended  COULTION  CSS  CCS  Net loss  S(1,714)  Other comprehensive income (expense), net of tax:  Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax of \$ -, \$4, \$- and \$(11), respectively	Octobe 200 \$(541) (28)	r 31, 2 - )	ended October 31 2001 \$(1,212)	Nine mo	onths 31, 002  011) 49					
\$ (569)

\$(1,205)

\$(22,962)

#### 6. Segment Information

\$(1,736)

</TABLE>

SeaChange has three reportable segments: broadband systems, broadcast systems and services. The broadband systems segment provides products to digitally manage, store and distribute digital video for cable system operators and telecommunications companies. The broadcast systems segment provides products for the storage, archival, on-air playback of advertising and other video programming for the broadcast television industry. The service segment provides installation, training, product maintenance and technical support for all of the above systems and content which is distributed by the broadband product segment. SeaChange does not measure the assets allocated to the segments. SeaChange measures results of the segments based on their respective gross profits. There were no inter-segment sales or transfers. Long-lived assets are principally

Comprehensive loss.....

-

### SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (in thousands, except per share data)

<TABLE>

10111 11011/		Three mont		Nine months	
		October 31, 2002	October 31, 2001	October 31, 2002	October 31, 2001
<s> Revenues</s>		<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
	BroadbandBroadcastServices	\$22,373 3,572 7,926	\$13,629 4,147 7,445	\$ 63,148 15,213 22,543	\$48,158 12,671 21,572
	Total	\$33,871 	\$25 <b>,</b> 221	\$100,904 	\$82,401 
Costs of	revenues				
	Broadband Broadcast Services	\$13,739 2,062 5,153	\$8,256 2,426 5,201	\$ 38,859 8,297 15,924	\$27,956 7,252 15,644
	Total	\$20,954 =====	\$15 <b>,</b> 883	\$ 63,080 =====	\$50 <b>,</b> 852
The follo	wing summarizes revenues by geographic	locations:			
Revenues					
	United States Canada and South America Europe Asia Pacific and rest of world	\$29,996 578 2,468 829	\$21,635 406 1,292 1,888	\$ 87,860 2,226 5,998 4,820	\$71,313 940 5,185 4,963
	Total	\$33,871 ======	\$25 <b>,</b> 221	\$100,904 ======	\$82,401 =====

#### </TABLE>

For the three and nine months ended October 31, 2002 and October 31, 2001, a limited number of SeaChange's customers each accounted for more than 10% of SeaChange's total revenues. Four single customers accounted for 19%, 17%, 14% and 13% of total revenues in the three months ended October 31, 2002 and a single customer accounted for 38% of total revenues in the three months ended October 31, 2001. Three single customers accounted for 21%, 17% and 10% of total revenues in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 and two single customers accounted for 20% and 17% of total revenues in the nine months ended October 31, 2001. Revenue from these customers was in the broadband segment. SeaChange believes that revenues from current and future large customers will continue to represent a significant proportion of total revenues.

#### 7. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 ("SFAS 142"), "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which was effective for SeaChange on February 1, 2002. SFAS 142 requires, among other things, the discontinuance of goodwill amortization and includes provisions for the reclassification of certain existing recognized intangibles as goodwill, reassessment of the useful lives of existing recognized intangibles, and reclassification of certain intangibles from previously reported goodwill. This analysis, which was completed during the quarter ended April 30, 2002 resulted in \$253,000 being identified as goodwill and the remaining gross carrying value of \$5.4 million with an accumulated amortization balance of \$2.1 million being identified as patent related intangibles. SeaChange reassessed the useful lives of the intangible assets and determined that the lives were appropriate. For the three and nine months ended October 31, 2002, SeaChange amortized \$384,000 and \$1.1 million, respectively, of the patent intangibles as general and administrative expenses and estimates annual amortization expense to be \$1.5 million for the fiscal year ending January 31, 2003 and 2004, and \$1.0 million for the fiscal year ending January 31, 2005. In accordance with SFAS 142, SeaChange completed the transitional impairment test of its goodwill as of July 31, 2002 and no adjustment to goodwill was necessary.

As of February 1, 2002, SeaChange ceased the amortization of goodwill. The following is the effect on net loss and net loss per share had SFAS 142 been in effect for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2002 and October 31, 2001 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

8

### SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (in thousands, except per share data)

<TABLE>

months ended	Three mo:	Nine	
months ended			
October 31, 2001	October 31, 2002	October 31, 2001	October 31, 2002
<pre><s> <c></c></s></pre>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Net loss	\$ (541)	\$(1,212)	\$ (23,011)
Add back: Impact of goodwill amortization, net of tax of \$-, \$10, \$- and \$30, respectively 66		22	
Adjusted net loss\$ (1,648)	\$ (541)	\$(1,190)	\$ (23,011)
======	=====	======	======
Net loss per share- basic and diluted \$ (0.08)	\$(0.02)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.87)
Add back: Impact of goodwill amortization, net of taxes	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Adjusted net loss per share- basic and diluted \$ (0.08)	\$(0.02)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.87)
======	=====	======	

</TABLE>

#### 8. Legal Proceedings

On June 13, 2000, SeaChange filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware a lawsuit against one of SeaChange's competitors, nCube Corp., whereby SeaChange alleged that nCube's MediaCube-4 product infringed a patent held by SeaChange (Patent No. 5,862,312) relating to SeaChange's MediaCluster technology. In instituting the claim, SeaChange sought both a permanent injunction and damages in an unspecified amount. nCube made a counterclaim against SeaChange that SeaChange's patent was invalid and that nCube's MediaCube-4 product did not infringe SeaChange's patent. On September 6, 2000, nCube conceded that, based on a claim construction ruling issued by the District Court on August 2000, nCube's MediaCube-4 product infringed SeaChange's patent. On September 25, 2000, a jury upheld the validity of SeaChange's patent. On March 28, 2002, the Court denied nCube's motion for a new trial and on September 30, 2002, the Court denied nCube's motions for judgment as a matter of law. Any damages and injunctive relief against nCube will not be awarded until after appeal.

On January 8, 2001, nCube Corp. filed a complaint against SeaChange in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware alleging that SeaChange's use of SeaChange's MediaCluster, MediaExpress and Media Server technology each infringe Patent No. 5,805,804 held by nCube ("'804"). In instituting the claim, nCube sought both an injunction and monetary damages. On May 29, 2002, the jury rendered a verdict that SeaChange infringed the nCube '804 patent. Based on a reasonable royalty rate of 7%, the jury determined that damages through January 31, 2002 amounted to \$2,035,829 and that SeaChange owes a 7% royalty on subsequent sales of the accused video-on-demand products. The jury also found that SeaChange's infringement was willful and the court will determine whether to enhance the damages in a later proceeding. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," SeaChange recorded certain charges to reflect this unfavorable jury verdict against SeaChange in the first quarter of fiscal 2003. The charges recorded totaled \$14.4 million and included provisions for estimated damages and treble damages relating to the shipments of

the accused video-on-demand ("VOD") systems through April 30, 2002, legal expenses including \$1.5 million of SeaChange deferred legal costs that had been included in other assets as of January 31, 2002, and accrued interest on total damages. The legal expenses paid through October 31, 2002 of \$3.6 million includes \$1.5 million of SeaChange legal expense that had been included in other assets as of January 31, 2002 and SeaChange litigation expenses paid in the first and second quarters of fiscal 2003 related to pre-verdict legal services.

q

### SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (in thousands, except per share data)

<table></table>	
<\$>	<c></c>
Estimated damages on the accused VOD shipments through April 30, 2002	\$ 2,787,000
through April 30, 2002	5,574,000
Estimated legal fees (including write-off of capitalized legal costs and nCube legal fees)  Accrued interest on estimated damages and treble damages	5,621,000
through April 30, 2002	418,000
	14,400,000
Additional accrued interest on estimated damages and treble damages through	
October 31, 2002	213,000 (3,621,000)
Accrued litigation reserve as of October 31, 2002	\$ 10,992,000 =======

#### </TABLE>

These estimates reflect SeaChange's best estimate of its exposure based on information currently available. However, SeaChange believes that any liability ultimately incurred after pursuing all legal options will not likely exceed the provision recorded in the first quarter, except for on-going legal fees associated with the dispute and additional accrued interest. Other than the payment of SeaChange's legal fees, any payment of the other amounts recorded as charges will only occur in the event that the jury verdict is upheld and treble damages are awarded. SeaChange is contesting both the jury's verdict and the Court's underlying patent claim construction in post-trial motions and, if necessary, expects to contest the verdict and claim construction by appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Any injunctive relief against SeaChange will not be determined until after appeal. Even in the event that the Court issues an injunction against SeaChange prohibiting SeaChange from selling the accused video-on-demand products, SeaChange believes that such injunction would have a minimal impact on its ability to ship products and meet customer demands because SeaChange has implemented a revised version of the software which SeaChange believes does not infringe the nCube `804 patent.

SeaChange and nCube have made a submission to the Court requesting that the Court decide post-trial motions in these two cases at the same time, thereby aligning these two cases for simultaneous appeal.

On March 26, 2002, nCube Corp. filed a complaint against SeaChange in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware seeking a declaratory judgment that its redesigned MediaCube-4 product does not infringe U.S. Patent No. 5,862,312 held by SeaChange. The complaint also alleges that nCube has been damaged by a certain statement made by SeaChange's Chief Executive Officer during a public conference call to discuss SeaChange's earnings on March 5, 2002. nCube is seeking a public retraction of the statement and is seeking damages in an unspecified amount. On April 15, 2002, SeaChange moved to dismiss all claims on the grounds that the patent-related issues are currently pending before the Court in the lawsuit previously filed by SeaChange, and the Court lacks jurisdiction over the remaining claims. On June 25, 2002, the Court stayed that action pending resolution of the appeals in the previously filed lawsuit.

In addition, nCube has asserted that SeaChange infringes several other patents and that it may take legal action in the future. SeaChange believes that it does not infringe any valid claim in these other patents.

SeaChange cannot be certain of the outcome of the foregoing current or potential litigation, but plans to vigorously defend itself against allegations made against SeaChange and oppose allegations that may be brought against SeaChange in the future. Accordingly, SeaChange is unable to determine the ultimate impact of this litigation on SeaChange's business, financial condition and results of operations or cash flows.

### SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (in thousands, except per share data)

On June 14, 1999, SeaChange filed a defamation complaint against Jeffrey Putterman, Lathrop Investment Management, Inc. and Concurrent Computer Corporation in the Circuit Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas alleging that the defendants conspired to injure SeaChange's business and reputation in the marketplace. The complaint further alleges that Mr. Putterman and Lathrop Investment Management, Inc. defamed SeaChange through false postings on an Internet message board. The complaint seeks unspecified amounts of compensatory and punitive damages. On June 14, 2000, Concurrent filed a counterclaim under seal against SeaChange seeking unspecified damages. On July 28, 2000, Concurrent filed a motion for summary judgment on the claim of civil conspiracy and on January 4, 2001, the trial court entered an order granting summary judgment for Concurrent on that claim. On June 12, 2001, the trial court denied the motion for reconsideration but made findings which permitted an immediate appeal and on July 11, 2001 SeaChange filed an appeal. On October 2, 2002, the Arkansas Court of Appeals reversed the judgment entered in favor of Concurrent and remanded the matter to the trial court. The Arkansas Supreme Court declined Concurrent's request for review. Once remand is finalized, SeaChange's claims against all defendants and any counterclaims will be set for trial. SeaChange cannot be certain of the outcome of the foregoing litigation, but plans to vigorously oppose allegations against SeaChange and assert SeaChange's claims against other parties. In addition, as these claims are subject to additional discovery and certain claims for damages are as yet unspecified, SeaChange is unable to estimate the impact to SeaChange's business, financial condition and results of operations or cash flows.

On October 30, 2002, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts by Leon and Rena Beylus, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, against SeaChange, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Thomas Weisel Partners LLC, RBC Dain Rauscher, Inc., William C. Styslinger, III, William L. Fiedler, Martin R. Hoffmann, Thomas F. Olson and Carmine Vona (the "Beylus Complaint"). On November 26, 2002, a purported class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts against the same parties by Panther Partners, Inc. (collectively with the Beylus Complaint, the "Complaints"). The Complaints allege that the registration statement and prospectus issued by SeaChange in connection with its stock offering completed on January 31, 2002 contained statements that were materially inaccurate. The plaintiffs are seeking damages in an unspecified amount, together with interest thereon, recissory damages, reimbursement of costs and expenses, and further relief that the court may determine to be appropriate. SeaChange believes the allegations in the Complaints are without merit and intends to defend itself vigorously in these matters.

#### 9. Comcast Equity Investment and Video-on-Demand Purchase Agreements

On December 1, 2000, SeaChange and Comcast Cable Communications, Inc. entered into a video-on-demand purchase agreement for SeaChange's video-on-demand servers and related services. Under the terms of the video-on-demand purchase agreement, Comcast has committed to purchase SeaChange's equipment capable of serving a minimum of one million cable subscribers by approximately December 2002. In addition, Comcast may earn up to an additional 450,000 incentive common stock purchase warrants through December 2003 based on the number of cable subscribers in excess of one million who are served by SeaChange's equipment which has been purchased by Comcast. In connection with the execution of this commercial agreement, SeaChange entered into a common stock and warrant purchase agreement, dated as of December 1, 2000, with Comcast SC Investment, Inc., whereby Comcast SC agreed to purchase, subject to certain closing conditions including registration of the shares purchased thereby, 466,255 shares of SeaChange's common stock for approximately \$10 million and Comcast SC would receive a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares, exercisable at \$21.445 per share, of SeaChange's common stock. This stock and warrant purchase agreement was terminated by SeaChange and Comcast SC on February 28, 2001. The terms and conditions of the video-on-demand purchase agreement have not been modified.

On February 28, 2001, SeaChange and Comcast SC signed and closed a new common stock and warrant purchase agreement on terms similar to the prior agreement. Under the terms of this new agreement, SeaChange sold in a private placement to Comcast SC for approximately \$10.0 million an aggregate of 756,144 shares of SeaChange's common stock and a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of SeaChange's common stock with an exercise price of \$13.225 per share. Under certain conditions determined upon the effectiveness of the registration of the shares, the number of common shares purchased and the number of common stock purchase warrants and related exercise price are subject to adjustment. An additional number of shares of common stock would be issued to Comcast SC without any additional consideration as is equal to the difference between 756,144, the number of shares of

### SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (in thousands, except per share data)

common stock issued on February 28, 2001, and the number of shares obtained by dividing \$10.0 million by the lower of 1) 92% of the closing market price of SeaChange's common stock on the date of effectiveness of the registration statement, and 2) the average of the closing market price of SeaChange's common stock for the five trading days ending on the effective date of the registration statement, if either of such prices is lower than \$13.225. The warrant agreement contains an adjustment mechanism such that the warrant would be exercisable for an additional 25,000 shares of SeaChange's common stock if the registration statement has not been declared effective on or before March 31, 2001 and an additional 333.33 shares of SeaChange's common stock per day beginning on and including May 1, 2001 for each day up to and including the day the registration statement is declared effective. The warrant agreement also provides that the exercise price of the warrant would be reduced on the effective date of the registration statement to the lower of 1) 92% of the closing market price of SeaChange's common stock on the effective date of the registration statement, and 2) the average of the closing market prices of SeaChange's common stock for the five trading days ending on the date of effectiveness of the registration statement, if either of such prices is lower than \$13.225, the exercise price as of the closing date.

SeaChange determined the intrinsic value of \$586,000 related to the 756,144 shares of common stock purchased on February 28, 2001 and measured the fair value of \$1.1 million related to the 100,000 common stock purchase warrants as of the closing date and recorded these amounts as contra-equity. On April 30, 2001, SeaChange recorded an additional contra-equity amount of \$325,000 for the fair value of the additional 25,000 common stock purchase warrants of SeaChange common stock as the registration statement had not been declared effective on or before March 31, 2001. On June 13, 2001, the effective date of the registration statement, SeaChange issued an additional 14,667 common stock purchase warrants in accordance with the agreement, and recorded an additional contra-equity amount of \$335,000, representing the incremental fair value of the total warrants issued. Based on the closing market price on the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the five trading days preceding the date of effectiveness of the registration statement, no additional common shares were issued to Comcast SC pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement and Comcast is not entitled to the issuance in the future of additional shares pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement. Also, based on the then prevailing market prices of SeaChange's common stock, the exercise price of the warrant was not reduced and is not subject to reduction in the future, other than equitable adjustment in connection with a stock split or other comparable event and future dilutive issuances.

The contra-equity amount was amortized as an offset to gross revenue in proportion to the revenue recognized from the sale of equipment with respect to the first one million subscribers Comcast has committed to under the video-on-demand purchase agreement. SeaChange had originally estimated that the equipment value with respect to the first one million subscribers to be \$30 million. In April 2002, SeaChange revised this estimate to \$25 million based on price decreases within the video-on-demand equipment market. For the three months ended July 31, 2002, SeaChange amortized \$925,000 of the deferred equity discount, which represented the remainder of the deferred equity discount related to the first one million subscribers.

In May 2002, SeaChange issued Comcast 15,235 incentive common stock purchase warrants based on the number of cable subscribers in excess of the first one million subscribers that will be served by SeaChange equipment. The exercise price of the incentive common stock purchase warrants of \$11.94 was based on the average closing market price of SeaChange's common stock for the fifteen trading days ending on the agreed upon determination date of April 26, 2002. SeaChange recorded a contra-equity amount of \$125,000 representing the fair value of the additional incentive common stock purchase warrants issued. During the three months ended July 31, 2002, SeaChange amortized the \$125,000 of the deferred equity discount as an offset to gross revenue because the warrants were earned by Comcast.

12

SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share data)

In August 2002, SeaChange issued Comcast an additional 887 incentive common stock purchase warrants based on the number of cable subscribers in excess of the first one million subscribers that will be served by SeaChange equipment. The exercise price of the incentive common stock purchase warrants of \$8.01 was based on the average closing market price of SeaChange's common stock for the fifteen trading days ending on the determination date of June 30, 2002. SeaChange recorded \$6,000 as an offset to gross product revenue representing the

fair value of the 887 additional incentive common stock purchase warrants issued. In addition, during the three months ended October 31, 2002, SeaChange recorded \$118,000 as an offset to gross product revenue representing the estimated fair value of 28,053 additional incentive common stock purchase warrants, based on the number of cable subscribers in excess of the first one million subscribers, earned by Comcast as of October 31, 2002. The estimated fair value of the common stock purchase warrants was based on the average closing market price of SeaChange's common stock for the fifteen trading days ending on October 31, 2002.

#### 10. Investment in Affiliates

On October 29, 2002, SeaChange entered into a Subscription and Shareholders Agreement (the "Subscription Agreement") with ON Demand Group Limited ("ODG"), a company incorporated in England and Wales that provides video-on-demand services in Europe. Pursuant to the Subscription Agreement, SeaChange invested 1.5 million U.K. pounds Sterling (approximately \$2.3 million) in exchange for 600,000 newly issued ordinary shares of ODG representing approximately 23% of the total outstanding capital stock of ODG as of October 29, 2002. In connection with this Subscription Agreement, SeaChange entered into a Business Development Agreement with ODG for a minimum of five years whereby ODG agreed to exclusively purchase and to market and promote SeaChange's video-on-demand systems and software worldwide in connection with furnishing video-on-demand services to ODG's customers.

As part of the Subscription Agreement, SeaChange has committed to purchase in two separate tranches, additional ordinary shares and preference shares of ODG from ODG and certain of its existing shareholders for an additional aggregate purchase price of up to 8.5 million U.K. pounds Sterling subject to ODG's satisfaction of certain conditions as set forth in the Subscription Agreement. Preference shares of ODG are non-voting equity shares that have certain rights and restrictions as outlined in ODG's Articles of Association, including but not limited to annual dividends, liquidation preferences and redemption/repurchase rights. In the first tranche, SeaChange agreed to purchase an additional 200,000 newly issued ordinary shares of ODG for an aggregate purchase price of 500,000 U.K. pounds Sterling, and an additional 400,000 ordinary shares of ODG from certain existing shareholders of ODG for an aggregate purchase price of 1.0 million U.K. pounds Sterling, subject to ODG's satisfaction of the conditions set forth in the Subscription Agreement for the first investment tranche, including the execution of an agreement by ODG with a major European cable operator for the supply of video-on-demand products and services to the customers of such European cable operator on or prior to December 31, 2002. In the second tranche, SeaChange agreed to purchase an additional 309,350 newly issued ordinary shares of ODG for an aggregate purchase price of 773,375 U.K. pounds Sterling, and an additional 6,226,625 newly issued preference shares of ODG for an aggregate purchase price of 6,226,625 U.K. pounds Sterling, subject to ODG's satisfaction of the conditions as set forth in the Subscription Agreement for the second investment tranche, including the satisfaction of all of the conditions for the first tranche and the execution of certain content provision agreements by ODG with at least three studios providing for the supply of content for video-on-demand service on or prior to December 31, 2003. In the event of the expiration or the termination, for any reason other than breach of contract, of the Business Development Agreement, ODG, upon written notification from SeaChange, shall procure the sale or redemption of all the shares held by SeaChange at a price equal to the then current fair value of such shares in respect to the ordinary shares, and the redemption value of the preference shares as defined in the Articles of Association.

SeaChange accounts for its investment in ODG under the equity method of accounting in accordance with APB Opinion No. 18, "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock."

As disclosed in SeaChange's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 13, 2002, SeaChange will file the financial statements required by Item 7 of Form 8-K as soon as practicable, but in any event not later than January 13, 2003.

1.3

SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share data)

#### 11. Income Taxes

SeaChange has deferred tax assets that have arisen primarily as a result of operating losses incurred and other temporary differences between book and tax accounting. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," requires the establishment of a valuation allowance when, based on an evaluation of objective evidence, there is a likelihood that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. SeaChange continually reviews the adequacy of the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets. As a result of recognizing a \$14.8 million operating loss during the three months ended April 30, 2002, SeaChange has determined that it is more likely than not

that its deferred tax assets will not be realized and accordingly has recorded income tax expense and valuation allowance against the net deferred tax assets of \$7.4 million. If SeaChange generates future taxable income against which these tax attributes may be applied, some portion or all of the valuation allowance would be reversed and a corresponding increase in net income would be reported in future periods.

#### 12. New Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143 ("SFAS 143"), "Accounting for Obligations Associated with the Retirement of Long-Lived Assets." SFAS 143 provides the accounting requirements for retirement obligations associated with tangible long-lived assets. SFAS 143 is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. SeaChange believes the adoption of SFAS 143 will not have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities," ("SFAS 146") which will become effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after January 31, 2003. SFAS 146 addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and supersedes Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." SeaChange believes the adoption of SFAS 146 will not have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

14

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Factors That May Affect Future Results

Any statements contained in this Form 10-Q that do not describe historical facts may constitute forward-looking statements as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance and are identified by words such as "may," "will," "could," "should," "expect," "plan," "intend," "seek," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "potential," or "continue" or other comparable terms or the negative of those terms. Forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q include certain statements regarding the effect of certain accounting standards on our financial position and results of operations, the effect of certain legal claims against us, projected changes in our revenues, earnings and expenses, exchange rate sensitivity, interest rate sensitivity, liquidity, product introductions and general market conditions. Our actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward-looking statements. Any such forward-looking statements contained herein are based on current expectations, but are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from expectations. The factors that could cause actual future results to differ materially from current expectations include the following: the emergence and acceptance of the video-on-demand market; the loss of one of the Company's large customers; the cancellation or deferral of purchases of the Company's products; a decline in demand or average selling price for the Company's broadband products; the Company's ability to manage its growth; content providers limiting the scope of content licensed for use in the video-on-demand market; the Company's ability to introduce new products or enhancements to existing products; the Company's dependence on certain sole source suppliers and third-party manufacturers; the Company's ability to compete in its marketplace; the Company's ability to respond to changing technologies; the Company's ability to protect its intellectual property rights and the expenses that may be incurred by the Company to protect its intellectual property rights; an unfavorable result of current or future litigation, including our current patent litigation with nCube Corp. and the current securities class action lawsuits filed against us; the risks associated with international sales; the Company's ability to integrate the operations of acquired subsidiaries; changes in the regulatory environment; the Company's ability to hire and retain highly skilled employees; and increasing social and political turmoil. Further information on factors that could cause actual results to differ from those anticipated is detailed in various filings made by us from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including but not limited to, those appearing under the caption "Certain Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2002. Any forward-looking statements should be considered in light of those factors.

#### Overview

We are a leading developer, manufacturer, and marketer of systems, known as video-storage servers, that automate the management and distribution of both long-form video streams, such as movies or other feature presentations, and short-form video streams, such as advertisements.

We have three reportable segments: broadband systems, broadcast systems and services. The broadband systems segment includes products, such as digital advertising and video-on-demand products, that digitally manage, store and distribute digital video for cable system operators and telecommunications companies. The broadcast systems segment includes products for the storage, archival and on-air playback of advertising and other video programming for the broadcast television industry. Systems revenues are comprised of sales of our broadband and broadcast systems. The service segment is comprised of revenue related to product development contracts, installation, training, product maintenance and technical support for all of the above systems, and content which is distributed by the broadband product segment.

We have experienced fluctuations in our systems revenues from quarter to quarter due to the timing of receipt of customer orders and the shipment of those orders. The factors that impact the timing of receipt of customer orders include among other factors: (1) the customer's obtaining authorized signatures on their purchase orders, (2) budgetary approvals within the customer's company for capital purchases and (3) the ability to process the purchase order within the customer's organization in a timely manner. Factors that may impact the shipment of customer orders include: (1) the availability of material to produce the product, and (2) the time required to produce and test the system before delivery. Because the average sales price of our system is high, the delay in the timing of receipt and shipment of any one customer order can result in quarterly fluctuations in our revenue.

1 5

Our results are significantly influenced by a number of factors, including our pricing, the costs of materials used in our products and the expansion of our operations. We price our products and services based upon our costs as well as in consideration of the prices of competitive products and services in the marketplace. The costs of our products primarily consist of the costs of components and subassemblies that have generally declined over time. As a result of the growth of our business, operating expenses have increased in the areas of research and development, selling and marketing, customer service and support and administration. We expect that the soft economy will continue to influence our operating results during fiscal 2003. This impact is likely to be most significant in the capital spending budgets of some of our cable and broadcast customers whom we believe depend on advertising revenues to fund their capital equipment purchases.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies; Significant Judgments and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make significant estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. These items are regularly monitored and analyzed by management for changes in facts and circumstances, and material changes in these estimates could occur in the future. Changes in estimates are recorded in the period in which they become known. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from our estimates if past experience or other assumptions do not turn out to be substantially accurate.

A summary of those accounting policies that we believe are most critical to fully understand and evaluate our financial results is set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2002. In addition, as a result of changes in facts and circumstances relating to certain litigation and income tax matters, the adoption of SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" and our investment in affiliates, we have updated below those accounting policies that we believe are most critical to fully understand and evaluate our financial results, and these policies should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the related Notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2002.

Accounting for Contingencies. During the quarter ended April 30, 2002, we recorded a \$14.4 million charge to operations in connection with the unfavorable jury verdict received against SeaChange related to the patent infringement claim filed by nCube Corp. on January 8, 2001. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," and related guidance, we determined that based upon the unfavorable jury verdict stating that our infringement was willful, we determined that it was probable that certain deferred legal costs previously incurred in connection with defending an existing patent had become impaired and that liabilities for damages, treble damages, legal fees, including estimated nCube legal fees, and related accrued interest had been incurred as of April 30, 2002. We also were able to develop reasonable estimates of our loss based on information then currently available about the jury's verdict concerning willful infringement and related damages and the likelihood of treble damages. Other than the payment of our legal fees, any payment of the other amounts recorded as one-time charges

will only occur in the event that the jury verdict is upheld and treble damages are awarded. We believe that any liability ultimately incurred after pursuing all legal options will not likely exceed the provision recorded in the quarter ended April 30, 2002 except for the continuation of accrued interest. However, we have revised our ongoing legal expenses based on legal expenses incurred in the last two fiscal quarters and we expect to incur on-going legal fees and will continue to accrue interest on the potential damages award in the aggregate amount of approximately \$800,000 to \$900,000 per fiscal quarter until the matter is resolved. For the three months ended October 31, 2002, approximately \$900,000 in legal fees and accrued interest on the potential damages award related to this litigation were recorded as general and administrative expenses.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Board No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," which we adopted on February 1, 2002, the carrying value of intangible assets and other long-lived assets is reviewed on a regular basis for the existence of facts or circumstances, both internal and external, that may suggest an asset is not recoverable - that is, the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the asset's use and eventual disposition. Factors we consider important that could trigger the impairment review include:

16

- .. significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future operating results;
- .. significant negative industry or economic trends;
- .. significant decrease in the market value of the long-lived asset;
- .. significant adverse change to the extent or manner in which a long-lived asset is being used or in its physical condition;
- .. significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that could affect the value of a long-lived asset;
- .. significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period;
- .. significant decline in our technological value as compared to the market;
  and
- .. our market capitalization relative to net book value.

If such circumstances exist, we evaluate the carrying value of long-lived assets to determine if impairment exists based upon estimated undiscounted future cash flows over the remaining useful life of the assets and comparing that value to the carrying value of the assets. If the carrying value of the asset is greater than the estimated future cash flows, the asset is written down to the estimated fair value. We determine the estimated fair value of the assets on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in the current business model. In determining expected future cash flows, assets are grouped at the lowest level for which cash flows are identifiable and independent of cash flows from other asset groups. Our cash flow estimates contain management's best estimates, using appropriate and customary assumptions and projections at the time.

Intangible assets, net consisted of capitalized patent defense costs of \$3.3 million which are being amortized to general and administrative expenses over their four year estimated useful life. In connection with the above patent infringement jury verdict in May 2002, we wrote-off \$1.5 million of certain deferred legal costs that had become impaired.

Accounting for Income Taxes. We record income taxes using the asset and liability method. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective income tax bases, and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Our financial statements contain certain deferred tax assets which have arisen primarily as a result of operating losses, as well as other temporary differences between financial and tax accounting. We are required to establish a valuation allowance if the likelihood of realization of the deferred tax assets is reduced based on an evaluation of objective verifiable evidence. Significant management judgment is required in determining our income tax expense (benefit), our deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against our deferred tax assets. We evaluate the weight of all available evidence to determine whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. As a result of recognizing a \$14.8 million operating loss during the quarter ended April 30, 2002, we determined that it is more likely than not that our deferred tax assets will not be realized and accordingly we recorded income tax expense and valuation allowance against the net deferred tax assets of \$7.4 million. The amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable is subject to change based on future events, including generating taxable income in future periods. We will continue to assess the need for the valuation allowance at each balance sheet date based on all available evidence. If we generate future taxable income against which these tax attributes may be applied, some portion or all of the valuation allowance would be reversed and a corresponding increase in net income would be reported in the future.

Investment in Affiliates. On October 29, 2002, we entered into a Subscription

and Shareholders Agreement (the "Subscription Agreement") with ON Demand Group Limited ("ODG"), a company incorporated in England and Wales that provides video-on-demand services in Europe. Pursuant to the Subscription Agreement, we invested 1.5 million U.K. pounds Sterling (approximately \$2.3 million) in exchange for 600,000 newly issued ordinary shares of ODG representing approximately 23% of the total outstanding capital stock of ODG as of October 29, 2002. In connection with this Subscription Agreement, we entered into a Business Development Agreement with ODG for a minimum period of five years whereby ODG agreed to exclusively purchase and to market and promote our video-on-demand systems and software worldwide in connection with furnishing video-on-demand services to ODG's customers. The realization of our investments in equity securities may be affected by the affiliate's ability to obtain adequate funding and execute its business plans, general market conditions, industry considerations specific to the affiliate's business, and other factors. The inability of an affiliate to obtain future funding or successfully execute its business plan could adversely affect our earnings in the periods affected by those events. Future adverse changes in market conditions or poor operating results of underlying investments could result in losses or in inability to recover the carrying value of the investments that may not be reflected in an investment's current carrying value, thereby possibly requiring an impairment charge in the future. We record an impairment charge when we believe an investment has experienced a decline in value other-than-temporary.

17

Principles of Consolidation. We consolidate the balance sheets and results of operations of all wholly and majority owned subsidiaries. We also hold minority investments in the common stock of certain private companies having product offerings or customer relationships that are strategic to us. These investments in affiliates include investments accounted for under the cost method and the equity method of accounting. Under the cost method of accounting, which generally applies to investments that represent less than a 20% ownership interest of the equity shares of the affiliate, the investments are carried at cost and we monitor the investments for impairment and make appropriate reductions in the carrying value, if necessary. Under the equity method of accounting, which generally applies to investments that represent 20% to 50% ownership of the equity securities of the affiliate, our proportionate ownership share of the earnings or losses of the affiliate is included in equity income in our consolidated statement of operations.

For transactions involving the sale of our products and services to an affiliated entity in which we have an equity ownership interest, the extent of revenue and profit recognized varies based on the level of equity interest we hold. When our equity ownership interest in the affiliated customer is less than 20%, generally no revenue or profit is deferred or eliminated upon the sale of our products to an affiliated entity. When our equity ownership interest is between 20% and 50%, provided that we do not control the affiliated entity, we generally recognize revenue upon shipment but we eliminate a portion of the profit equal to our equity ownership interest in the entity. The profit is eliminated through a charge to equity in the earnings of affiliated entities with a corresponding reduction in the carrying value of the investment in the affiliate.

Three Months Ended October 31, 2002 Compared to the Three Months Ended October 31, 2001

#### Revenues

Systems. Our systems revenues consist of sales within our broadband segment (primarily digital advertising and video-on-demand systems) and our broadcast segment. Systems revenues increased 46% to \$25.9 million in the three months ended October 31, 2002 from \$17.8 million in the three months ended October 31, 2001. Revenues from the broadband segment, which accounted for 66% and 54% of total revenues in the three months ended October 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, increased to \$22.4 million in 2002 as compared to \$13.6 million in 2001. Video-on-demand system revenues were \$15.3 million for the three months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to \$10.2 million in the comparable quarter in the prior year primarily due to increased deployments of residential video-on-demand systems in the United States for U.S. cable operators. Included as a reduction in the video-on-demand systems revenue in the three months ended October 31, 2002 was the amortization of \$124,000 related to the deferred equity discount associated with the Comcast equity investment. Digital advertising system revenues were \$7.0 million for the three months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to \$3.4 million in the prior year. The increase in advertising system revenues was a result of expansions to existing digital advertising insertion systems by several U.S. cable operators. Broadcast system segment revenues were \$3.6 million in the three months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to \$4.1million in the three months ended October 31, 2001. We expect future revenue growth, if any, to come principally from our video-on-demand and broadcast system products as cable and telecommunications companies continue to offer new video-on-demand applications for their customers and the market for digital video servers within the broadcast industry continues to expand. We do not expect the Business Development Agreement with ODG to have a significant impact on our video-on-demand revenues until late fiscal 2004 or fiscal 2005. As

revenues from broadcast and video-on-demand products increase, the digital advertising products will become a smaller portion of total system revenues. However, we believe that there will be continued demand for expansions to existing digital advertising insertion systems within the U.S. and growth potential for new interactive advertising systems in the future.

Services. Our services revenues consist of fees for installation, training, product maintenance, technical support services and movie content fees. Our services revenues increased 7% to \$7.9 million in the three months ended October 31, 2002 from \$7.4 million in the three months ended October 31, 2001. This increase in services revenues primarily resulted from the renewals of technical support and maintenance services and the impact of a growing installed base of systems offset in part by a lower level of product development services.

For the three-month periods ended October 31, 2002 and October 31, 2001, a limited number of our customers each accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues. Four single customers accounted for 19%, 17%, 14% and 13% of total revenues in the three months ended October 31, 2002, and a single customer accounted for 38% of total revenues in the three months ended October 31, 2001. Revenue from these customers was in the broadband segment. We believe that revenues from current and future large customers will continue to represent a significant proportion of total revenues.

18

International sales accounted for approximately 11% and 14% of total revenues in the three-month periods ended October 31, 2002 and October 31, 2001, respectively. We expect that international sales will become a more significant portion of our business in the future. As of October 31, 2002, substantially all sales of our products were made in United States dollars. Therefore, we have not experienced, nor do we expect to experience in the near term, any material impact from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on our results of operations or financial position. If this practice changes in the future, we will reevaluate our foreign currency exchange rate risk.

#### Gross Profit

Systems. Costs of systems revenues consist primarily of the cost of purchased components and subassemblies, labor and overhead relating to the final assembly and testing of complete systems and related expenses. Costs of systems revenues increased 48% to \$15.8 million in the three months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to \$10.7 million in the three months ended October 31, 2001. In the three months ended October 31, 2002, the increase in costs of systems revenues reflects higher systems revenue and higher material costs within the video-on-demand products. We expect cost of systems revenues as a percentage of revenues for the video-on-demand products within the broadband segment to remain at high levels as the products are first deployed and to decrease as the revenue level increases and we improve our manufacturing and material purchasing efficiencies through fiscal 2004.

Systems gross profit as a percentage of systems revenues was 39% and 40% in the three months ended October 31, 2002 and October 31, 2001, respectively. Gross profit for the broadband and broadcast segments remained flat at 39% and 42%, respectively for each of the three-month periods ended October 31, 2002 and October 31, 2001.

Services. Costs of services revenues consist primarily of labor, materials and overhead relating to the installation, training, product maintenance and technical support services provided by us and costs associated with providing movie content. Costs of services revenues remained flat at \$5.2 million in the three months ended October 31, 2002 and the three months ended October 31, 2001, respectively. Services gross profit as a percentage of services revenue was 35% in the three months ended October 31, 2002 and 30% in the three months ended October 31, 2001. We expect that we will continue to experience fluctuations in gross profit as a percentage of services revenue as a result of the timing of revenues from technical support and other services to support the growing installed base of systems and the timing of costs associated with our ongoing investment required to build a service organization to support the installed base of systems and new products.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses consist primarily of compensation of development personnel, depreciation of equipment and an allocation of related facilities expenses. Research and development expenses increased 10% to \$6.5 million in the three months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to \$5.9 million in the three months ended October 31, 2001. The increase in the three months ended October 31, 2002 was primarily attributable to the hiring and contracting of additional development personnel which reflects our continuing investment in new products. We expect that research and development expenses will continue to increase in dollar amount in comparison to similar periods in prior years as we continue to develop and support our new and existing products.

Selling and Marketing. Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of compensation expenses, including sales commissions, travel expenses and certain

promotional expenses. Selling and marketing expenses increased 17% to \$4.0 million in the three months ended October 31, 2002 from \$3.4 million in the three months ended October 31, 2001. The increase was primarily due to the hiring of additional sales personnel for our video-on-demand and broadcast products, higher commissions on increased revenues and higher travel and other promotional related costs.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of provision for litigation and related expenses resulting from the nCube jury verdict, compensation of executive, finance, human resource and administrative personnel, legal and accounting services and an allocation of related facilities expenses. General and administrative expenses increased to \$3.3 million in the three-month period ended October 31, 2002, as compared to \$1.7 million in the three-month period ended October 31, 2001. This increase is primarily due to legal expenses and accrued interest on potentially awarded damages of approximately \$900,000 associated with the unfavorable jury verdict in connection with the litigation with nCube. We have revised our ongoing legal expenses based on legal expenses incurred in each of the last two quarters and we expect to incur on-going legal fees and accrued interest in the aggregate amount of approximately \$800,000 to \$900,000 per fiscal quarter until the matter is resolved. We intend to vigorously contest both the jury's verdict and the U.S. District Court's underlying patent claim construction both in post-trial motions and, if necessary, by way of an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. We have filed counter claims in connection with the above nCube `804 patent litigation.

10

Interest Income (Expense), net. Interest expense, net was \$80,000 for the three months ended October 31, 2001 and interest income, net was \$304,000 for the three months ended October 31, 2002. The increase in interest income, net in the three months ended October 31, 2002 primarily resulted from interest on invested cash balances resulting from our public stock offering which closed on January 31, 2002.

Income Tax Expense. Primarily as a result of the \$14.4 million charge to operations recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2003 related to the unfavorable jury verdict in the nCube litigation, we have determined that it is more likely than not that our deferred tax assets as of October 31, 2002 will not be realized. Accordingly, we recorded income tax expense of \$7.4 million in the three months ended April, 30, 2002 for a valuation allowance against all net deferred tax assets and we have not recorded any income tax benefit in the three months ended October 31, 2002. We will continue to assess the need for the valuation allowance at each balance sheet date based on all available evidence, including generating sufficient taxable income in future periods. Our effective tax rate of 32% for the quarter ended October 31, 2001 was favorably impacted by the utilization of research and development tax credits.

Nine Months Ended October 31, 2002 Compared to the Nine Months Ended October 31, 2001

#### Revenues

Systems. Systems revenues increased 29% to \$78.4 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 from \$60.8 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2001. Revenues from the broadband segment, which accounted for 63% and 58% of total revenues in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, increased to \$63.1 million in 2002 as compared to \$48.2 million in 2001. Video-on-demand system revenues were \$46.5 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to \$32.8 million in the prior year primarily due to increased deployments of residential video-on-demand systems in the United States for U.S. cable operators. Included as a reduction in the video-on-demand systems revenue was the amortization of \$1.4 million related to the deferred equity discount associated with the Comcast equity investment. During the nine months ended October 31, 2002, there were overall price decreases within the video-on-demand equipment market due to competitive pressures and we believe there may be future price decreases for these products as well. Digital advertising system revenues were \$16.6 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to \$15.4 million in the prior year. The increase resulted primarily from the rise in the number of expansion systems purchased by U.S. cable operators in the third quarter of fiscal 2003. Broadcast system segment revenues were \$15.2 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to \$12.7 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2001. The increase in broadcast system revenues was a result of increased market penetration in the U.S. and Asia Pacific. We expect future revenue growth, if any, to come principally from our video-on-demand and broadcast system products as cable and telecommunications companies continue to offer new video-on-demand applications for their customers and the market for digital video servers within the broadcast industry continues to expand. We do not expect the Business Development Agreement with ODG to have a significant impact on our video-on-demand revenues until late fiscal 2004 or fiscal 2005. As revenues from broadcast and video-on-demand products increase, the digital advertising products will become a smaller portion of total system revenues. However, we believe that there will be a continued demand for expansions to existing digital advertising insertion systems within the U.S. and growth potential for new interactive advertising systems in the future.

Services. Our services revenues increased 5% to \$22.5 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 from \$21.6 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2001. This increase in services revenues primarily resulted from the renewals of technical support and maintenance services and the impact of a growing installed base of systems offset in part by a lower level of product development services.

For the nine-month periods ended October 31, 2002 and October 31, 2001, a limited number of our customers each accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues. Three single customers accounted for 21% 17% and 10% of total revenues in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 and two single customers accounted for 20% and 17% of total revenues in the nine months ended October 31, 2001. Revenue from these customers was primarily in the broadband segment. We believe that revenues from current and future large customers will continue to represent a significant proportion of total revenues.

20

International sales accounted for approximately 13% and 14% of total revenues in the nine-month periods ended October 31, 2002 and October 31, 2001, respectively. We expect that international sales will become a more significant portion of our business in the future. As of October 31, 2002, substantially all sales of our products were made in United States dollars. Therefore, we have not experienced, nor do we expect to experience in the near term, any material impact from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on our results of operations or financial position. If this practice changes in the future, we will reevaluate our foreign currency exchange rate risk.

#### Gross Profit

Systems. Costs of systems revenues increased 34% to \$47.2 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to \$35.2 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2001. In the nine months ended October 31, 2002, the increase in costs of systems revenues reflects higher systems revenue and higher material costs within the video-on-demand products. We expect cost of systems revenues as a percentage of revenues for the video-on-demand products within the broadband segment to remain at high levels as the products are first deployed and to decrease as the revenue level increases and we improve our manufacturing and material purchasing efficiencies through fiscal 2004.

Systems gross profit as a percentage of systems revenues was 40% and 42% in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 and October 31, 2001, respectively. The decrease in systems gross profit in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 was primarily due to the shift within broadband products sales from higher gross profit advertising systems to lower gross profit video-on-demand systems resulting from competitive price decreases on our products. Gross profit for the broadband segment decreased to 39% for the nine months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to 42% for the nine months ended October 31, 2001 while gross profit for the broadcast segment increased to 46% for the nine months ended October 31, 2002 compared to 43% for the nine months ended October 31, 2001.

Services. Costs of services revenues increased slightly to \$15.9 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 from \$15.6 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2001. Services gross profit as a percentage of services revenue was 29% in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 and 27% in the nine months ended October 31, 2001. We expect that we will continue to experience fluctuations in gross profit as a percentage of services revenue as a result of the timing of revenues from technical support and other services to support the growing installed base of systems and the timing of costs associated with our ongoing investment required to build a service organization to support the installed base of systems and new products.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses increased 9% to \$19.1 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 as compared to \$17.6 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2001. The increase in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 was primarily attributable to the hiring and contracting of additional development personnel which reflects our continuing investment in new products. We expect that research and development expenses will continue to increase in dollar amount in comparison to similar periods in prior years as we continue to develop and support our new and existing products.

Selling and Marketing. Selling and marketing expenses increased 13% to \$12.0 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 from \$10.6 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2001. The increase was primarily due to the hiring of additional sales personnel for our video-on-demand and broadcast products, higher commissions on increased revenues, higher travel and other promotional related costs.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses increased to \$23.3 million in the nine-month period ended October 31, 2002, as compared to \$5.6 million in the nine-month period ended October 31, 2001. This increase is primarily due to the recording of charges of \$14.4 million in the first quarter

of fiscal 2003 associated with an unfavorable jury verdict in connection with the litigation with nCube, additional \$1.8 million in on-going legal and accrued interest related to the above litigation and the increase in accounts receivable reserves, principally a \$500,000 provision against outstanding amounts owed by Adelphia Communications Corp. which filed for bankruptcy in June 2002. We have revised our ongoing legal expenses based on legal expenses incurred in each of the last two fiscal quarter and we expect to incur on-going legal fees and accrued interest on awarded potential damages in the aggregate amount of approximately \$800,000 to \$900,000 per fiscal quarter until the matter is resolved. The charges recorded for the nCube jury verdict totaled \$14.4 million and included provisions for estimated damages and treble damages relating to the shipments of the accused video-on-demand ("VOD") systems through April 30, 2002, legal expenses, including estimated nCube legal fees and \$1.5 million of our legal costs that had been included in other assets as of January 31, 2002, and accrued interest on total damages. The legal expenses paid through October 31, 2002 of \$3.6 million include \$1.5 million of SeaChange legal expense that had been included in other assets as of January 31, 2002 and litigation expenses paid in the first and second quarters of fiscal 2003 related to pre-verdict legal services.

21

#### <TABLE>

<\$>	<c></c>
Estimated damages on the accused VOD shipments through April 30, 2002	\$ 2,787,000
Estimated treble damages on the accused VOD shipments through April 30, 2002 Estimated legal fees (including the write-off of capitalized legal costs and nCube	5,574,000
legal fees)	5,621,000
Accrued interest on estimated damages and treble damages through April 30, 2002	418,000
	14,400,000
Additional accrued interest on estimated damages and treble damages through	
October 31, 2002	213,000
Legal expenses paid through October 31, 2002	(3,621,000)
Accrued litigation reserve as of October, 31, 2002	\$10,992,000 ======

#### </TABLE>

Accrued litigation reserve estimates reflects our best estimate of our exposure based on information currently available. However, we believe that any liability ultimately incurred after pursuing all legal options will not likely exceed the provision recorded in the first quarter, except for on-going legal fees associated with this dispute and additional accrued interest. Other than the payment of our legal fees, any payment of the other amounts recorded as charges will only occur in the event that the jury verdict is upheld and treble damages are ultimately awarded. We are contesting both the jury's verdict and the Court's underlying patent claim construction in post-trial motions and, if necessary, expect to contest the verdict and claim construction by appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Any injunctive relief against us will not be determined until after appeal. Even in the event that the Court issues an injunction against us prohibiting us from selling the accused video-on-demand products, we believe that such injunction would have a minimal impact on our ability to ship products and meet customer demands because we have implemented a revised version of the software which we believe does not infringe the nCube `804 patent.

Interest Income (Expense), net. Interest expense, net was \$332,000 for the nine months ended October 31, 2001 and interest income, net was \$1.0 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2002. The increase in interest income, net in the nine months ended October 31, 2002 primarily resulted from interest on invested cash balances resulting from our public stock offering which closed on January 31, 2002.

Income Tax Expense. Primarily as a result of the \$14.4 million charge to operations recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2003 related to the unfavorable jury verdict in the nCube litigation, we have determined that it is more likely than not that our deferred tax assets as of October 31, 2002 will not be realized. Accordingly, we recorded income tax expense of \$7.4 million for a valuation allowance against all net deferred tax assets. We will continue to assess the need for the valuation allowance at each balance sheet date based on all available evidence, including generating sufficient taxable income in future periods. Our effective tax rate of 32% for the nine months ended October 31, 2001 was favorably impacted by the utilization of research and development tax credits.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have financed our operations and capital expenditures primarily with the proceeds of sales of our common stock, borrowings and cash flows generated from operations. Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$11.0 million from \$103.9

million at January 31, 2002 to \$92.9 million at October 31, 2002. Working capital (consisting of current assets less current liabilities) decreased from approximately \$134.9 million at January 31, 2002 to approximately \$112.1 million at October 31, 2002.

Net cash used in operating activities was approximately \$800,000 for the nine months ended October 31, 2002. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$2.0 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2001. The net cash used in operating activities for the nine months ended October 31, 2002 was the result of the net loss of \$23.0 million adjusted for non-cash expenses including depreciation and amortization of \$7.9 million and the changes in certain operating assets and liabilities. The significant net changes in operating assets and liabilities that provided cash from operations included the increase in accrued litigation reserve of \$11.0 million related primarily to the non-cash charges to operations recorded in connection with the nCube jury verdict in the first quarter of fiscal 2003, and a decrease in accounts receivable of \$7.7 million. Those items that generated cash from operations were offset by an increase in inventories of approximately \$2.7 million, a decrease in accounts payable of \$7.0 million and a decrease in customer deposits of \$1.9 million. We expect that the broadcast segment and the video-on-demand products within the broadband segment will continue to require a significant amount of cash to fund future product development, to manufacture and deploy customer test and demonstration equipment and to meet higher revenue levels in both product seaments.

22

Net cash used in investing activities was approximately \$5.2 million and \$8.3 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2002, and October 31, 2001, respectively. Investment activity consisted primarily of expenditures for capital equipment required to support the expansion and growth of the business and the initial investment in ODG of approximately \$2.3 million.

Net cash used in financing activities was approximately \$5.0 million and net cash provided by financing activities was approximately \$7.4 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2002, and October 31, 2001, respectively. In the nine months ended October 31, 2002, the cash used in financing activities included approximately \$5.6 million in principal repayments of outstanding loans under the equipment line of credit and capital lease obligations.

In October 2001, we entered into a \$10.0 million revolving line of credit with a bank that expires in October 2003. Loans made under this revolving line of credit will bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the bank's prime rate, 4.75% at October 31, 2002. Borrowings under this line of credit are collateralized by substantially all of our assets. In March 2002, we repaid the outstanding balance under the current line of credit of \$5.4 million plus accrued interest to the bank. As of October 31, 2002, we had no borrowings under this revolving line of credit. The loan agreement requires that we provide the bank with certain periodic financial reports and comply with certain financial ratios including a minimum level of earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization on a trailing twelve month basis. As of October 31, 2002, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants.

In October 2000, we entered into an agreement with a bank to finance \$1.2 million of the construction costs related to the purchase and renovation of a manufacturing mill in New Hampshire that we previously purchased in February 2000. During the construction period, interest accrued and was paid at a per annum rate of 8.875%. Upon occupancy of the building in November 2000, the loan converted into two promissory notes whereby we will pay principal and interest based upon a fixed interest rate per annum over a five and ten year period, respectively, of 8.875% at October 31, 2002. Borrowings under the loan are collaterized by the land and buildings of the renovated mill. The loan agreement requires that we provide the bank with certain periodic financial reports and comply with certain annual financial ratios. We were in compliance with the financial covenants as of October 31, 2002. As of October 31, 2002, borrowings outstanding under the loan were \$1.0 million.

It is typical for us to experience fluctuations in our monthly operating results primarily due to the timing of receiving customer orders and the related shipment of these customer orders. As a result of these monthly fluctuations, we may experience fluctuations in our inventories as a result of procurement of both short and long lead components for anticipated orders for both our product segments, in our accounts payable balance primarily due to the timing of payments for materials purchased for prior month shipments, in accounts receivable amounts as a result of the timing of customer payments without corresponding customer shipments and in cash and cash equivalents.

As part of the Subscription and Shareholders Agreement (the "Subscription Agreement") that we entered into with ON Demand Group Limited ("ODG") on October 29, 2002, we have committed to purchase in two separate tranches, additional ordinary shares and preference shares of ODG from ODG and certain of its existing shareholders for an additional aggregate purchase price of up to 8.5 million U.K. pounds Sterling subject to ODG's satisfaction of certain conditions as set forth in the Subscription Agreement. Preference shares of ODG are

non-voting equity shares that have certain rights and restrictions as outlined in ODG's Articles of Association, including but not limited to annual dividends, liquidation preferences and redemption/repurchase rights. In the first tranche, we would purchase an additional 200,000 newly issued ordinary shares of ODG for an aggregate purchase price of 500,000 U.K. pounds Sterling, and an additional 400,000 ordinary shares of ODG from certain existing shareholders of ODG for an aggregate purchase price of 1.0 million U.K. pounds Sterling, subject to ODG's satisfaction of the conditions as set forth in the Subscription Agreement for the first investment tranche, including the execution of an agreement by ODG with a major European cable operator for the supply of video-on-demand products and services to the customers of such European cable operator on or prior to December 31, 2002. In the second tranche, we agreed to purchase an additional 309,350 newly issued ordinary shares of ODG for an aggregate purchase price of 773,375 U.K. pounds Sterling, and an additional 6,226,625 newly issued preference shares of ODG for an aggregate purchase price of 6,226,625 U.K. pounds Sterling, subject to ODG's satisfaction of the conditions as set forth in the Subscription Agreement for the second investment tranche, including the satisfaction of all of the conditions for the first tranche and the execution of certain content provision agreements by ODG with at least three studios providing for the supply of content for video-on-demand service on or prior to December 31, 2003. In the event of the expiration or the termination, for any reason other than breach of contract, of the Business Development Agreement, ODG, upon written notification from us, shall procure the sale or redemption of all the shares held by us at a price equal to the then current fair value for the ordinary shares and the redemption value of the preference shares as defined in the Articles of Association.

23

We believe that existing funds together with available borrowings under the revolving line of credit and the proceeds from our public stock offering are adequate to satisfy our working capital and capital expenditure requirements and our commitments to affiliates requirements for the foreseeable future.

We had no material capital expenditure commitments as of October 31, 2002.

Effects of Inflation

Management believes that financial results have not been significantly impacted by inflation and price changes.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143 ("SFAS 143"), "Accounting for Obligations Associated with the Retirement of Long-Lived Assets." SFAS 143 provides the accounting requirements for retirement obligations associated with tangible long-lived assets. SFAS 143 is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. We believe the adoption of SFAS 143 will not have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities," ("SFAS 146") which will become effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after January 31, 2003. SFAS 146 addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and supersedes Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." We believe the adoption of SFAS 146 will not have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations.

#### ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We face exposure to financial market risks, including adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates and changes in interest rates. These exposures may change over time as business practices evolve and could have a material adverse impact on our financial results. Our primary exposure has been related to local currency revenue and operating expenses in Europe and Asia. Historically, we have not hedged specific currency exposures as gains and losses on foreign currency transactions have not been material to date. At October 31, 2002, we had approximately \$1,000,000 outstanding related to variable rate U.S. dollar denominated debt. The carrying value of these short-term borrowings approximates fair value due to the short maturities of these instruments. Assuming a hypothetical 10% adverse change in the interest rate, interest expense on these short-term borrowings would increase by approximately \$5,000. The carrying amounts reflected in the consolidated balance sheet of cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables, and trade payables approximates fair value at October 31, 2002 due to the short maturities of these instruments. We maintain investment portfolio holdings of various issuers, types, and maturities. Our cash and marketable securities include cash equivalents, which we consider investments to be purchased with original maturities of three months or less given the short maturities and investment grade quality of the portfolio holdings at October 31, 2002, a sharp rise in interest rates should not have a material adverse impact on the fair value of our investment portfolio. As a

result, we do not currently hedge these interest rate exposures.

#### ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

#### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Within ninety days prior to the filing date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, William C. Styslinger, III, our Chief Executive Officer, and William L. Fiedler, our Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

24

#### (b) Changes in Internal Controls

There were no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls subsequent to the date we last evaluated our internal controls, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

On June 13, 2000, SeaChange filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware a lawsuit against one of SeaChange's competitors, nCube Corp., whereby SeaChange alleged that nCube's MediaCube-4 product infringed a patent held by SeaChange (Patent No. 5,862,312) relating to SeaChange's MediaCluster technology. In instituting the claim, SeaChange sought both a permanent injunction and damages in an unspecified amount. nCube made a counterclaim against SeaChange that SeaChange's patent was invalid and that nCube's MediaCube-4 product did not infringe SeaChange's patent. On September 6, 2000, nCube conceded that, based on a claim construction ruling issued by the District Court on August 2000, nCube's MediaCube-4 product infringed SeaChange's patent. On September 25, 2000, a jury upheld the validity of SeaChange's patent. On March 28, 2002, the Court denied nCube's motion for a new trial and on September 30, 2002, the Court denied nCube's motions for judgment as a matter of law. Any damages and injunctive relief against nCube will not be awarded until after appeal.

On January 8, 2001, nCube Corp. filed a complaint against SeaChange in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware alleging that SeaChange's use of SeaChange's MediaCluster, MediaExpress and Media Server technology each infringe Patent No. 5,805,804 held by nCube ("`804"). In instituting the claim, nCube sought both an injunction and monetary damages. On May 29, 2002, the jury rendered a verdict that SeaChange infringed the nCube `804 patent. Based on a reasonable royalty rate of 7%, the jury determined that damages through January 31, 2002 amounted to \$2,035,829 and that SeaChange owes a 7% royalty on subsequent sales of the accused video-on-demand products. The jury also found that SeaChange's infringement was willful and the court will determine whether to enhance the damages in a later proceeding. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies", SeaChange recorded certain charges to reflect this unfavorable jury verdict against SeaChange in the first quarter of fiscal 2003. The charges recorded totaled \$14.4 million and included provisions for estimated damages and treble damages relating to the shipments of the accused video-on-demand ("VOD") systems through April 30, 2002, legal expenses including \$1.5 million of SeaChange legal expense that had been included in other assets as of January 31, 2002, and accrued interest on total damages. The legal expenses paid through July 31, 2002 of \$3.6 million include \$1.5 million of SeaChange legal expense that had been included in other assets as of January 31, 2002 and litigation expenses paid in the first and second quarters of fiscal 2003 related to pre-verdict legal services.

#### <TABLE>

-----

<C>

Additional accrued interest on estimated damages and treble damages through October 31, 2002
213,000
Legal expenses paid through October 31, 2002
Accrued litigation reserve as of October 31, 2002\$10,992,000
=======

</TABLE>

These estimates reflect SeaChanges's best estimate of its exposure based on information currently available. However, SeaChange believes that any liability ultimately incurred after pursuing all legal options will not likely exceed the provision recorded in the first quarter, except for on-going legal fees associated with this dispute and additional accrued interest. Other than the payment of SeaChange's legal fees, any payment of the other amounts recorded as charges will only occur in the event that the jury verdict is upheld and treble damages are awarded. SeaChange is contesting both the jury's verdict and the Court's underlying patent claim construction in post-trial motions and, if necessary, expects to contest the verdict and claim construction by appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Any injunctive relief against SeaChange will not be determined until after appeal. Even in the event that the Court issues an injunction against SeaChange prohibiting SeaChange from selling the accused video- on-demand products, SeaChange believes that such injunction would have a minimal impact on its ability to ship products and meet customer demands because SeaChange has implemented a revised version of the software which SeaChange believes does not infringe the nCube `804 patent.

SeaChange and nCube have made a submission to the Court requesting that the Court decide post-trial motions in these two cases at the same time, thereby aligning these two cases for simultaneous appeal.

On March 26, 2002, nCube Corp. filed a complaint against SeaChange in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware seeking a declaratory judgment that its redesigned MediaCube-4 product does not infringe U.S. Patent No. 5,862,312 held by SeaChange. The complaint also alleges that nCube has been damaged by a certain statement made by SeaChange's Chief Executive Officer during a public conference call to discuss SeaChange's earnings on March 5, 2002. nCube is seeking a public retraction of the statement and is seeking damages in an unspecified amount. On April 15, 2002, SeaChange moved to dismiss all claims on the grounds that the patent-related issues are currently pending before the Court in the lawsuit previously filed by SeaChange, and the Court lacks jurisdiction over the remaining claims. On June 25, 2002, the Court stayed that action pending resolution of the appeals in the previously filed lawsuit.

In addition, nCube has asserted that SeaChange infringes several other patents and that it may take legal action in the future. SeaChange believes that it does not infringe any valid claim in these other patents.

SeaChange cannot be certain of the outcome of the foregoing current or potential litigation, but plans to oppose allegations against SeaChange and oppose allegations that may be bought against SeaChange in the future. Accordingly, SeaChange is unable to determine the impact to SeaChange's business, financial condition and results of operations or cash flows.

On June 14, 1999, SeaChange filed a defamation complaint against Jeffrey Putterman, Lathrop Investment Management, Inc. and Concurrent Computer Corporation in the Circuit Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas alleging that the defendants conspired to injure SeaChange's business and reputation in the marketplace. The complaint further alleges that Mr. Putterman and Lathrop Investment Management, Inc. defamed SeaChange through false postings on an Internet message board. The complaint seeks unspecified amounts of compensatory and punitive damages. On June 14, 2000, Concurrent filed a counterclaim under seal against SeaChange seeking unspecified damages. On July 28, 2000, Concurrent filed a motion for summary judgment on the claim of civil conspiracy and on January 4, 2001, the trial court entered an order granting summary judgment for Concurrent on that claim. On June 12, 2001, the trial court denied the motion for reconsideration but made findings which permitted an immediate appeal and on July 11, 2001 SeaChange filed an appeal. On October 2, 2002, the Arkansas Court of Appeals reversed the judgment entered in favor of Concurrent and remanded the matter to the trial court. The Arkansas Supreme Court declined Concurrent's request for review. Once remand is finalized, SeaChange's claims against all defendants and any counterclaims will be set for trial. SeaChange cannot be certain of the outcome of the foregoing litigation, but plans to vigorously oppose allegations against SeaChange and assert SeaChange's claims against other parties. In addition, as these claims are subject to additional discovery and certain claims for damages are as yet unspecified, SeaChange is unable to estimate the impact to SeaChange's business, financial condition and results of

On October 30, 2002, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts by Leon and Rena Beylus, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, against SeaChange, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Thomas Weisel Partners LLC, RBC Dain Rauscher, Inc., William C. Styslinger, III, William L. Fiedler, Martin R. Hoffmann, Thomas F. Olson and Carmine Vona (the "Beylus Complaint"). On November 26, 2002, a purported class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts against the same parties by Panther Partners, Inc. (collectively with the Beylus Complaint, the "Complaints"). The Complaints allege that the registration statement and prospectus issued by SeaChange in connection with its stock offering completed on January 31, 2002 contained statements that were materially inaccurate. The plaintiffs are seeking damages in an unspecified amount, together with interest thereon, recissory damages, reimbursement of costs and expenses, and further relief that the court may determine to be appropriate. SeaChange believes the allegations in the Complaints are without merit and intends to defend itself vigorously in these matters.

#### Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds

In August 2002, pursuant to the terms of that certain Video-on-Demand Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2000, by and between SeaChange and Comcast Cable Communications of Pennsylvania, Inc., SeaChange issued to Comcast Cable SC Investment, Inc. ("Comcast SC") a warrant exercisable for eight hundred eighty-seven (887) shares of SeaChange's common stock, \$0.01 par value per share. The exercise price of the incentive common stock purchase warrants of \$8.01 was based on the average closing market price of SeaChange's common stock for the fifteen trading days ending on the determination date, June 30, 2002. This issuance was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof, as SeaChange did not make any general solicitation relating to the issuance of these warrants. No underwriter was used in connection with this transaction.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

- (a) Exhibits
- 10.1 Subscription and Shareholders Agreement, dated as of October 29, 2002, by and between SeaChange International, Inc., ON Demand Group Limited and the other parties thereto (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K previously filed on November 13, 2002 with the Commission (File No. 000-21393) and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.2 Articles of Association of ON Demand Group Limited, as adopted by special resolution passed on October 28, 2002 (filed as Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K previously filed on November 13, 2002 with the Commission (File No. 000-21393) and incorporated herein by reference).
- 99.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
- 99.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K.

Not applicable.

27

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, SeaChange International, Inc. has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: December 13, 2002

SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL, INC.

by: /s/ William L. Fiedler

William L. Fiedler
Vice President, Finance and Administration,
Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer;
Authorized Officer)

- I, William C. Styslinger, III, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of SeaChange International, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report:
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
- b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

2.8

- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: December 13, 2002

/s/ William C. Styslinger, III
------William C. Styslinger, III
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

- I, William L. Fiedler, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of SeaChange International, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report:
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:

- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
- b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: December 13, 2002

30

#### Index to Exhibits

- No. Description
- 10.1 Subscription and Shareholders Agreement, dated as of October 29, 2002, by and between SeaChange International, Inc., ON Demand Group Limited and the other parties thereto (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K previously filed on November 13, 2002 with the Commission (File No. 000-21393) and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.2 Articles of Association of ON Demand Group Limited, as adopted by special resolution passed on October 28, 2002 (filed as Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K previously filed on November 13, 2002 with the Commission (File No. 000-21393) and incorporated herein by reference).
- 99.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
- 99.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)

Exhibit 99.1

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of SeaChange International, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 31, 2002 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, William C. Styslinger, III, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. (S). 1350, as adopted pursuant to (S). 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of SeaChange International, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 31, 2002 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, William L. Fiedler, Chief Financial Officer, Vice President, Finance and Administration, Treasurer and Secretary of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. (S). 1350, as adopted pursuant to (S). 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ William L. Fiedler

- -----

William L. Fiedler

Chief Financial Officer, Vice President, Finance and Administration, Treasurer and Secretary

December 13, 2002